#### <u>REMARKS</u>

# Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claim 16 is rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lary et al., U.S. pat. No. 5,713,913. However, in the balloon described by Lary, the markers 34, 36 and 38 are separated longitudinally and are therefore not coextensive.

## Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lary '913. As discussed above, there is no teaching or suggestion of placing the markers coextensively. In applicant's balloon, the markers are placed coextensively to facilitate the folding of the balloon.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant notes with appreciation the indication by the Examiner that Claims 2 and 9 are directed to patentable subject matter. In view of the amendments, claims 1, 15 and 16 are believed to be in allowable condition, so there is no need to rewrite claims 2 and 9 as suggested by the Examiner.

### Submission of Formal Drawings

In the Office Action the Examiner indicated that formal drawings need to be submitted in this application. However, formal drawings were submitted on March 22, 2002. A copy of the Submission of Formal Drawings is attached.

#### Conclusions

Applicant believes that all pending claims are directed to patentable subject matter. Reconsideration and an early allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Lynch

Registration No. 24,422 Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Spear Tower, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 371-2200

Facsimile: (415) 371-2201 Direct Dial; (415) 371-2267 RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

ULI V 3 2003